To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this request sheet and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25) 1001 I Street PO Box 4025 Sacramento CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdictio All respondents must comp	n informati lete this sectio	on and Certificat	ion				
I certify under penalty of and that I am authorized	perjury that the to make this	ne information in this certification on beha	s document is true ar	nd correct	to the best of my knowledge,		
Jurisdiction Name			County				
Town of Apple Valley			San Bernardino				
Authorized Signature			Title				
Diana di W	un		Community Services Manager		·		
Type/Print Name of Person Signing		Date		Phone			
Diana McKeen		February 18, 2005		(760) 240-7521			
Person Completing This Form (please print or type) Diana McKeen			Title Community Services Manager				
Phone		E-mail Address	Fax				
(760) 240-7521		dmckeen@appicvalley.org		(760)240-7399			
Mailing Address	City		State		ZIP Code		
14955 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley		y	CA		92307		
<u> </u>							

Board Meeting Agenda Item 21 May 11, 2005 Attachment 7

Section II—Cover Sheet						
This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR) requested.						
1. Eligibility Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)?						
No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.						
Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.						
2. Specific Request and Length of Request						
Please specify the request desired.						
Specific years requested _2005						
Is this a second request? No Specific years requested2005 (Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)						
☐ Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).						
Specific ADR requested%, for the years						
Is this a second ADR request? No Yes Specific ADR requested%, for the						
years (Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not successful.)						
Note: Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the years from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend beyond January 1, 2006.						

Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.

It is possible that the CIWMB diversion calculation formula used statewide does not provide for the vagaries of a newly developed area. Apple Valley was incorporated in November of 1988, less than a year prior to the passage of AB 939. A sparsely populated community of 78 square miles, Apple Valley continues to have virtually no demolition while construction is growing exponentially. Although the SRRE programs are operational, and although the recycling tonnage continues to increase, the disposal tonnage also increases with the population, and the Town has a lot of room for growth. Requests for Town building permits have risen between 20% and 26% each of the past three years.

Apple Valley's initial § 1066 Time Extension request was dependent on San Bernardino County's § 1066 plan to incorporate landfill-based recycling of self-haul at its landfill sites. Apple Valley continues to have self-haul tonnage in the 20% range, making self-haul the largest single component of its waste stream. In 2001, total self-haul was 19.56%. In 2002, self-haul had risen to 22.73%. (It subsequently rose to 23.83% in 2003 and to 24.07% in 2004.) The greatest proportion of self-haul comes from "cash customers," as recorded at the landfill scales. This means that no specific information is available to the Town concerning what company or individual is circumventing the Town's franchised hauling system and recycling efforts.

The County conducted surveys at its landfills in 2004, which indicated that the bulk of the self-haul tonnage comes from roll-off boxes and that an estimated 63% of these materials are recoverable. Construction and demolition debris is the largest category of self-haul loads. Various circumstances have prevented the County from moving forward to create the infrastructure necessary to actually capture some or all of the recoverable materials from the self-haul loads. However, the survey data indicated that as much as 14% of all tons currently disposed at the County landfills could potentially be diverted by a self-haul diversion program. The result of possibly lowering Apple Valley's landfill disposal by 14% speaks for itself.

The expansion of the commercial select program described in the initial § 1066 Plan of Correction did not net any significant increase in recyclables. The commercial recycling continues to increase slowly, independent of how many select tons are collected and run through the MRF for processing. Furthermore, processing the increased amounts of trash which along with a commercial select program is expensive, slows down the MRF operation of processing actual recyclables and creates unwarranted wear and tear on the equipment.

- 2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.
- 2. Again, the Town must wait for the landfill improvements to be completed before being able to capture recoverable materials from self-haul loads. Although the County has released numerous Requests for Proposals related to landfill-based programs, the only change made at the Victorville landfill has been a very recent hauler-initiated pilot program of recovering green waste. The County's disposal report for the second quarter of 2004, reflected a "landfill salvage" amount for the Town of Apple Valley of over 400 tons (ground and used on-site as ADC), just from this one fledgling program. More time will be required for the County to accomplish the engineering and construction necessary to be able to process the recoverable materials in the large roll-off boxes. The Apple Valley Town Council is prepared to enact a Construction and Demolition Debris Recycling Ordinance, once the County has recovery systems for self-haul in place at the landfill.

Board Meeting May 11, 2005

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.
The Town has implemented all programs in its SRRE plus additional selective programs. These have been listed for years in the Annual Report and were also spelled out in the initial Time Extension request. Good faith has clearly been demonstrated by the Town through all the programs it has implemented and continues to maintain.
In the absence of the anticipated C&D diversion program at the Victorville landfill, the Town Council has determined to pursue a C&D recovery program at the jurisdiction level after receiving input from the development community.
4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

Section IIIB—ALTERNATIVE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs tha	t
were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith	
effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's efforts in demonstrating "good faith	
effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be	
comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.	
Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).	

comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation. Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIB-1.).
1. Why does your jurisdiction need and Alternative Diversion Requirement? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.
2. Why is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in lieu of a Time Extension?
2. Wity is your jurisdiction requesting an Alternative Diversion Requirement in fied of a Time Extension?
3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.
4. Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for an ADR. Provide any relevant information that supports the request.

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %			60	Non-resi	n-residential %			40	
PROGRAM TYPE lease use the Board's rogram Types. The rogram Glossary is nline at: ww.ciwmb.ca.gov/ GCentral/PARIS/Codes/educe.htm		DESCRIPTION OF	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM			FULLY LETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION		
Commercial Self-Haul; Other Facility Recovery	New	program jurisdict	to implement landfill divens targeted to self-haul to ions; recovery of half of would equal about 10%	County	Unknow	10%			
C&D	New	Town-w builders plan is t for site of require	Town-wide program to capture C&D directly from builders/developers before it goes to the landfill. The plan is to require all builders to use the franchised hauler for site cleanup to avoid the self-haul impacts. This will require a Municipal Code amendment, and is scheduled to go to the Council for approval at its second March				5	5%	
MRF	New	\$3 million local MF increase of sorting plus additional million in	3 million upgrade and retrofit program proposed for ocal MRF co-owned by Victorville and Apple Valley to ncrease through-put to 20 TPH and improve accuracy of sorting. Upgrade will add additional sort line capacity, olus adding an OCC disc screen, V- screens, and MSS optical automated sorting modules with air ejection			12/31/05		5%	
		To		on Percent From New and		_	ns	20%	
	Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report						30%		
	Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated							50%	
	PR	OGRAM	S SUPPORTIN	G DIVERSION A	CTIVITIES	3			
PROGRAM TYPE NEW or EXPANDED				DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM				TE FULLY MPLETED	
Public Education New				A massive public education program will support the County's landfill-based recycling opportunities focused on self-haul			Unknow	'n	

Public Education	New	Advance meetings and possibly focus group meetings with construction and development industry representatives will be necessary prior to implement C&D diversion	6/1/05	

Section IV B—GOA	AL ACHIE	VEMENT						
Goal Achievement Attach additional sh			es the jurisdiction	will use to a	chieve the ADR			
Residential %				Non-	residential %			
PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or	DE	SCRIPTION OF PROGR	AM	FUNDING		L E FULLY	ESTIMATED
Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at:	EXPAND				SOURCE	COM	IPLETED	PERCENT DIVERSION
www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LG Central/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm								
		Total	Estimated Diversion Per	cent From New	and/or Expanded	Progran	ns	
	Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report							
			Total Planned	Diversion Perce	ent Estimated			
		20004440	<u> </u>	/ED0101	\			
	Pr	KOGRAMS	SUPPORTING I	DIVERSIO	N ACTIVITIES	•		
PROGRAM TYPE		NEW or EXPAND				DATE FULLY COMPLETED		

Board Meeting May 11, 2005

Section V - PARIS

Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.

Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/.